

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950 E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov Internet: ct.gov/csc

March 21, 2011

Kenneth C. Baldwin, Esq. Robinson & Cole LLP 280 Trumbull Street Hartford, CT 06103-3597

RE: **DOCKET NO. 415** - Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for the construction, maintenance and operation of a telecommunications facility located at 87 West Quasset Road, Woodstock, Connecticut.

Dear Attorney Baldwin:

The Connecticut Siting Council (Council) requests your responses to the enclosed questions no later than April 14, 2011. Please forward an original and 15 copies to this office and a .pdf file. In accordance with the State Solid Waste Management Plan, the Council is requesting that all filings be submitted on recyclable paper, primarily regular weight white office paper. Please avoid using heavy stock paper, colored paper, and metal or plastic binders and separators. Fewer copies of bulk material may be provided as appropriate.

Yours very truly,

Linda Roberts
Executive Director

c: Council Members
Parties and Intervenors
Sandy Carter, Cellco Partnership (via e-mail)





STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT SITING COUNCIL

Ten Franklin Square, New Britain, CT 06051 Phone: (860) 827-2935 Fax: (860) 827-2950 E-Mail: siting.council@ct.gov Internet: ct.gov/csc

DOCKET NO. 415 - WOODSTOCK

CELLCO PARTNERSHIP d/b/a VERIZON WIRELESS PRE-HEARING INTERROGATORIES

- 1. During the past five years, how many applications were submitted to the Woodstock Planning and Zoning Commission? How many of these applications were approved?
- 2. During the past five years, how many permit applications were submitted to the Woodstock Inland Wetland and Watercourse Agency? How many of these applications were approved?
- 3. Did Cellco receive return receipts for all adjacent landowners listed in Application Attachment 4? If not, describe any additional effort to serve notice.
- 4. Would blasting be required for the construction of the proposed site? Provide estimates of cut and fill.
- 5. What is Cellco's minimum signal level threshold for in-building and in-vehicle use?
- 6. What is the current signal strength in the proposed service area?
- 7. Provide a coverage plot, using the scale and thresholds in Application Attachment 6, that depict coverage from existing/approved Cellco sites and the proposed tower at a height of 130 feet (Cellular and PCS).
- 8. Is the site within the *Quinebaug-Shetucket Rivers National Heritage Corridor*? If so, describe the impact of the proposed facility on resources within the heritage area.
- 9. Would the existing ATC tower be removed from the property if the proposed site was approved? If so, what entity would remove the ATC tower?

